

A Problem of Hsiang-Palais-Terng on Isoparametric Submanifolds

Haibao Duan
Institute of Mathematics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing 100080, dhb@math.ac.cn

Abstract

We solve the problem raised by Hsiang, Palais and Terng in [HPT]: Is it possible to have an isoparametric foliation on $\mathbb{R}^{52}$ whose marked Dynkin diagram is of type D_4 and with all multiplicities uniformly equal to 4?

2000 Mathematical Subject classification: 53C42 (14M15; 57R20)

Key words and phrases: Isoparametric submanifolds; Vector bundles; Characteristic classes.

1 Introduction

We assume familiarity with the notations, terminology and results developed by Hsiang, Palais and Terng in [HPT].

Associated to an isoparametric submanifold M in an Euclidean n -space $\mathbb{R}^n$, there is a Weyl group with Dynkin diagram marked with multiplicities. In this paper we show

Theorem. *There is no isoparametric submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{52}$ whose marked Dynkin diagram is of type D_4 and with all multiplicities uniformly equal to 4.*

This result solves Problem 1 raised by Hsiang, Palais and Terng in [HPT]. As was pointed out by the authors of [HPT], it implies also that

Corollary. *There is no isoparametric submanifolds whose marked Dynkin diagrams with uniform multiplicity 4 of D_k -type, $k > 5$ or E_k -type, $k = 6, 7, 8$.*

2 Isoparametric submanifolds of type D_4

Assume throughout this section that $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an irreducible isoparametric submanifold with uniform even multiplicity m of D_4 -type. With this assumption we have

$$\dim M = 12m \text{ and } n = 12m + 4.$$

The inner product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be denoted by $(,)$.

Fix a base point $a \in M$ and let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the normal plane to M at a . It is a subvector space with $\dim P = 4$.

Let Λ be the focal set of the embedding $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. P intersects Λ at 12 linear hyperplanes (through the origin in P)

$$\Lambda \cap P = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_{12}.$$

The reflection σ_i of P in the L_i , $1 \leq i \leq 12$, generate the Weyl group W of type D_4 , considered as a subgroup of the isometries of P .

It follows that we can furnish P with an orthonormal basis $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4\}$ so that the set $\Phi = \{\alpha_i \in P \mid 1 \leq i \leq 12\}$ of positive roots of W relative to $a \in P$ is given and ordered by (cf. [Hu, p.64])

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2; & \alpha_2 &= \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3; & \alpha_3 &= \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4; \\ \alpha_4 &= \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3; & \alpha_5 &= \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4; & \alpha_6 &= \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4; \\ \alpha_7 &= \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1; & \alpha_8 &= \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_2; & \alpha_9 &= \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_3; \\ \alpha_{10} &= \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_1; & \alpha_{11} &= \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_2; & \alpha_{12} &= \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we order the planes L_i by the requirement that each α_i is normal to L_i , $1 \leq i \leq 12$. As results we have

(2-1) $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and α_9 form the set of simple roots relative to a , and the corresponding Cartan matrix is

$$(\beta_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3,9} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \beta_{ij} = 2 \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}.$$

(2-2) The group W is generated $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ and σ_9 , whose actions on P are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &: \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4\} \rightarrow \{\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4\}, \\ \sigma_2 &: \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4\} \rightarrow \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_4\}, \\ \sigma_3 &: \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4\} \rightarrow \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_3\}, \\ \sigma_9 &: \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4\} \rightarrow \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, -\varepsilon_4, -\varepsilon_3\}; \end{aligned}$$

(2-3) Let $b = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 \in P$. The subgroup W_b of W that fixes b is generated by σ_1, σ_2 and σ_3 ;

Using (2-2) one verifies directly that

(2-4) the set simple roots can be expressed in term of the W -action on α_1 as

$$\alpha_2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 (\alpha_1); \quad \alpha_3 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 (\alpha_1); \quad \alpha_4 = \sigma_2 (\alpha_1);$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_5 &= \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_2(\alpha_1); & \alpha_6 &= \sigma_3 \sigma_2(\alpha_1); \\
\alpha_7 &= \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_9 \sigma_2(\alpha_1); & \alpha_8 &= \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_9 \sigma_2(\alpha_1); & \alpha_9 &= \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_9 \sigma_2(\alpha_1); \\
\alpha_{10} &= \sigma_3 \sigma_9 \sigma_2(\alpha_1); & \alpha_{11} &= \sigma_1 \sigma_9 \sigma_2(\alpha_1); & \alpha_{12} &= \sigma_9 \sigma_2(\alpha_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. (2-1) implies the following geometric facts. The planes L_i partition P into $|W| = 2^3 \cdot 4!$ convex open hulls (called *Weyl chambers*), and the base point a is contained in the one Ω bounded by the L_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and L_9 . In (2-3) the point b lies on the edge $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$ of $\overline{\Omega}$. $\square$

let $M_b \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the focal manifold parallel to M through b [HPT]. We have a smooth projection

$$\pi : M \rightarrow M_b$$

whose fiber over $b \in M_b$ is denoted by F .

Recall from [HPT] that the tangent bundle TM of M has a canonical splitting as the orthogonal direct sum of 12 subbundles

$$TM = E_{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\alpha_{12}}, \dim_{\mathbb{R}} E_{\alpha_i} = m,$$

in which E_i is the curvature distribution of M relative to the root α_i , $1 \leq i \leq 12$. From [HPT] we have

Lemma 1. *Let TN be the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold N . Then*

- (1) *the subbundle $\oplus_{1 \leq i \leq 6} E_{\alpha_i}$ of TM restricts to TF ;*
- (2) *the induced bundle π^*TM_b agrees with $\oplus_{7 \leq i \leq 12} E_{\alpha_i}$. $\square$*

3 The cohomology of the fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow M_b$

Let $b_i \in H_m(M; \mathbb{Z})$, $1 \leq i \leq 12$, be the homology class of the leaf sphere $S_i(a) \subset M$ of the integrable bundle E_{α_i} through $a \in M$, and let $d_i \in H^m(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be the Euler class of E_{α_i} .

Lemma 2. *The Kronecker pairing $\langle, \rangle : H^m(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_m(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ can be expressed in term of the inner product $(,)$ on P as*

$$\langle d_i, b_j \rangle = 2 \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 12. \square$$

Remark 2. Let G be a compact connected semi-simple Lie group with a fixed maximal torus T and Weyl group W . Fix a regular point a in the Cartan subalgebra $L(T)$ of the Lie algebra $L(G)$ corresponding to T . The orbit of the adjoint action of G on $L(G)$ through a yields an embedding $G/T \rightarrow L(G)$ which defines the flag manifold G/T as an isoparametric submanifold in $L(G)$ with associated Weyl group W and with equal multiplicities $m = 2$.

In this case Lemma 2 has its generality due to Bott and Samelson [BS], and the numbers $2 \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}$ are the *Cartan numbers* of G (only 0, ± 1 , ± 2 , ± 3 can occur). $\square$

Since the roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and α_9 form a set of simple roots, the classes b_1, b_2, b_3 and b_9 constitute an additive basis of $H_m(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Since the M is $m - 1$ connected, we specify a basis $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_9$ of $H^m(M; \mathbb{Z})$ (in term of Kronecker pairing) as

$$\langle b_i, \omega_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 9.$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that

Lemma 3.
$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \\ d_9 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ \omega_9 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

In term of the ω_i we introduce in $H^m(M; \mathbb{Z})$ the classes t_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, by the relation

$$(3-1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \\ t_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ \omega_9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conversely,

$$(3-2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ \omega_9 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \\ t_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Weyl group W (acting as isometries of P) has the effect to permute roots (cf. (2-3)). On the other hand, W acts also smoothly on M [HPT], hence acts as automorphisms of the cohomology (resp. the homology) of M . For an $w \in W$ write w^* (resp. w_*) for the induced action on the cohomology (resp. homology).

Lemma 4. *With respect to the $\mathbb{Q}$ basis t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 of $H^2(M; \mathbb{Q})$, the action of W on $H^2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1^* : \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\} &\rightarrow \{t_2, t_1, t_3, t_4\}, \\ \sigma_2^* : \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\} &\rightarrow \{t_1, t_3, t_2, t_4\}, \\ \sigma_3^* : \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\} &\rightarrow \{t_1, t_2, t_4, t_3\} \\ \sigma_9^* : \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\} &\rightarrow \{t_1, t_2, -t_4, -t_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3, 9$. In term of the Cartan matrix (2-1), the action of σ_{i*} on the $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis b_1, b_2, b_3, b_9 has been determined in [HPT] as

$$(3-3) \quad \sigma_{i*}(b_j) = b_j + \beta_{ij} b_i$$

(cf. the proof of 6.11. Corollary in [HPT]). By the naturality of Kronecker pairing

$$\langle \sigma_i^*(\omega_k), b_i \rangle = \langle \omega_k, \sigma_{i*}(b_j) \rangle = \delta_{kj} + \beta_{ij} \delta_{ki},$$

we get from (3-3) that

$$(3-4) \quad \sigma_i^*(\omega_k) = \begin{cases} \omega_k & \text{if } i \neq k; \\ \omega_k - (\beta_{k1}\omega_1 + \beta_{k2}\omega_2 + \beta_{k3}\omega_3 + \beta_{k9}\omega_9) & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases}$$

With (β_{ij}) being given explicitly in (2-1), combining (3-1), (3-4) with (3-2) verifies Lemma 4. $\square$

Let the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]$ of polynomials in the variables t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 be graded by $\deg(t_i) = m$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Let $e_i \in \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]$ (resp. $\theta_i \in \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]$) be the i^{th} elementary symmetric functions in t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 (resp. in $t_1^2, t_2^2, t_3^2, t_4^2$), $1 \leq i \leq 4$. We note that the θ_i can be written as a polynomial in the e_i

$$\theta_1 = e_1^2 - 2e_2; \quad \theta_2 = e_2^2 - 2e_1e_3 + 2e_4; \quad \theta_3 = e_3^2 - 2e_2e_4.$$

Lemma 5. *In term of generator-relations the rational cohomology of M is given by*

$$H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4] / \mathbb{Q}^+[\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, e_4].$$

Further, the induced homomorphism $\pi^* : H^*(M_b; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ maps the algebra $H^*(M_b; \mathbb{Q})$ isomorphically onto the subalgebra

$$\mathbb{Q}[e_1, e_2, e_3] / \mathbb{Q}^+[\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3].$$

Proof. It were essentially shown in [HPT, 6.12. Theorem; 6.14. Theorem] that for any $\mathbb{Q}$ basis y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 of $H^m(M; \mathbb{Q})$ one has the grade preserving W -isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) &= \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4] / \mathbb{Q}^+[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]^W; \\ H^*(M_b; \mathbb{Q}) &= \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]^{W_b} / \mathbb{Q}^+[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]^W, \end{aligned}$$

where the W -action on the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]$ is induced from the W -action on the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $H^m(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$ and where $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]^{W_b}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}^+[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]^W$) is the subalgebra of W_b -invariant polynomials (resp. W -invariant polynomials in positive degrees).

Since the transition matrix from t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 to the $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_9$ of $H^m(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is non-singular by (3-1), the t_i constitute a basis for $H^m(M; \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]^{W_b} = \mathbb{Q}[e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4], \quad \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]^W = \mathbb{Q}[\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, e_4].$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3. If $G = SO(2n)$ (the special orthogonal group of rank $2n$), the embedding $G/T \rightarrow L(G)$ considered in Remark 2 gives rise to an isoparametric submanifold $M = SO(2n)/T$ in the Lie algebra $L(SO(2n))$ which is of D_n -type with equal multiplicities $m = 2$. The corresponding M_b is known as the *Grassmannian of complex structures* on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ [D]. In this case Borel computed the algebras $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(M_b; \mathbb{Q})$ in [B] which are compatible with Lemma 5. $\square$

4 Computation in the Pontrijagin classes

Turn to the case $m = 4$ concerned by our Theorem. Denote by $p_1(\xi) \in H^4(X; \mathbf{Z})$ for the first Pontrijagin class of a real vector bundle ξ over a topological space X .

Lemma 6. *For an $w \in W$ and an $\alpha \in \Phi$ one has*

$$w^*(p_1(E_\alpha)) = p_1(E_{w^{-1}(\alpha)}).$$

In particular, (2-3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(E_{\alpha_2}) &= \sigma_1^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); & p_1(E_{\alpha_3}) &= \sigma_2^* \sigma_1^* \sigma_3^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_4}) &= \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); & p_1(E_{\alpha_5}) &= \sigma_1^* \sigma_3^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_6}) &= \sigma_3^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); & p_1(E_{\alpha_7}) &= \sigma_2^* \sigma_3^* \sigma_9^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_8}) &= \sigma_1^* \sigma_3^* \sigma_9^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); & p_1(E_{\alpha_9}) &= \sigma_2^* \sigma_1^* \sigma_9^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_{10}}) &= \sigma_3^* \sigma_9^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); & p_1(E_{\alpha_{11}}) &= \sigma_1^* \sigma_9^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}); \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_{12}}) &= \sigma_9^* \sigma_2^* p_1(E_{\alpha_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In term of the W -action on the set of roots, the induced bundle $w^*(E_{\alpha_i})$ is $E_{w^{-1}(\alpha_i)}$ (cf. [HTP, 1.6]). Lemma 6 comes now from the naturality of Pontrijagin classes and from (2-4). $\square$

Lemma 7. $p_1(E_{\alpha_1}) = k(t_1 + t_2 - t_3 - t_4)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 4 we can assume that

$$p_1(E_{\alpha_1}) = k_1 t_1 + \cdots + k_4 t_4, \quad k_i \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Since the restricted bundle $E_{\alpha_1} | S_1(a)$ is the tangent bundle of the 4-sphere $S_1(a)$ and therefore is stably trivial, we have

$$\langle p_1(E_{\alpha_1}), b_1 \rangle = k_1 - k_2 = 0.$$

That is

$$(4-1) \quad p_1(E_{\alpha_1}) = k t_1 + k t_2 + k_3 t_3 + k_4 t_4.$$

Since the actions of the σ_i^* , $i = 1, 2, 3, 9$, on the t_j are known by Lemma 4, combining (4-1) with the relations in Lemma 6 yields

$$\begin{aligned} (4-2) \quad p_1(E_{\alpha_2}) &= k_3 t_1 + k t_2 + k t_3 + k_4 t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_3}) &= k_3 t_1 + k_4 t_2 + k t_3 + k t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_4}) &= k t_1 + k_3 t_2 + k t_3 + k_4 t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_5}) &= k_3 t_1 + k t_2 + k_4 t_3 + k t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_6}) &= k t_1 + k_3 t_2 + k_4 t_3 + k t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_7}) &= k t_1 - k t_2 + k_3 t_3 - k_4 t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_8}) &= k_3 t_1 + k t_2 - k t_3 - k_4 t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_9}) &= k_3 t_1 - k_4 t_2 + k t_3 - k t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_{10}}) &= k t_1 + k_3 t_2 - k t_3 - k_4 t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_{11}}) &= k_3 t_1 + k t_2 - k_4 t_3 - k t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_{12}}) &= k t_1 + k_3 t_2 - k_4 t_3 - k t_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since the tangent bundle of any isoparametric submanifold is stably trivial, we get from $TM = E_{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\alpha_{12}}$ that

$$p_1(TM) = p_1(E_{\alpha_1}) + \cdots + p_1(E_{\alpha_{12}}) = 0.$$

Comparing the coefficients of t_1 on both sides of the equation turns out $k_3 = -k$. Substituting this in (4-2) gives rise to, in particular, that

$$(4-3) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1(E_{\alpha_7}) &= kt_1 - kt_2 - kt_3 - k_4t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_8}) &= -kt_1 + kt_2 - kt_3 - k_4t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_9}) &= -kt_1 - k_4t_2 + kt_3 - kt_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_{10}}) &= kt_1 - kt_2 - kt_3 - k_4t_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_{11}}) &= -kt_1 + kt_2 - k_4t_3 - kt_4; \\ p_1(E_{\alpha_{12}}) &= kt_1 - kt_2 - k_4t_3 - kt_4. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since

$$p_1(E_{\alpha_7}) + \cdots + p_1(E_{\alpha_{12}}) = \pi^* p_1(TM_b) \in \text{Im } [\pi^* : H^*(M_b; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})]$$

by (2) of Lemma 1, it must be symmetric in t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 by (2) of Lemma 4. Consequently, $k_4 = -k$. This completes the proof of Lemma 6. $\square$

We emphasize what we actually need in the next result.

Lemma 8. *If $M \subset R^{52}$ is an irreducible isoparametric submanifold with uniform multiplicity 4 of D_4 -type, there exists a 4-plane bundle ξ over M whose Euler and the first Pontrijagin classes are respectively*

$$(4-4) \quad e(\xi) = 2\omega_1 - \omega_2; \quad p_1(\xi) = 2k(\omega_2 - \omega_4)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Take $\xi = E_{\alpha_1}$. Then $e(\xi) = d_1 = 2\omega_1 - \omega_2$ by Lemma 3 and

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(\xi) &= k(t_1 + t_2 - t_3 - t_4) \text{ (by Lemma 7)} \\ &= 2k(\omega_2 - \omega_9) \text{ (by (3-1))} \end{aligned}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover we must have $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ since

(1) M is 3 connected and the classes $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_9$ constitute an additive basis for $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$; and since

(2) the first Pontrijagin class of any vector bundle over a 3 connected CW-complex is an integer class and is divisible by 2 [LD]. $\square$

5 A topological constraint on isoparametric submanifolds with equal multiplicity 4

Let $Vect^m(S^n)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of Euclidean m -vector bundles over the n -sphere $S^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1\}$.

If $n = m = 4$ we introduce the map $f : Vect^4(S^4) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$f(\xi) = (< e(\xi), [S^4] >, < p_1(\xi), [S^4] >),$$

where

(1) $[S^4] \in H_4(S^4; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is a fixed orientation class;

(2) $<, >$ is the Kronecker pairing between cohomology and homology; and where

(3) $e(\xi)$ and $p_1(\xi)$ are respectively the Euler and the first Pontrijagin classes of $\xi \in Vect^4(S^4)$.

Example (cf. [MS, p.246]). Let $\tau \in Vect^4(S^4)$ be the tangent bundle of S^4 , and let $\gamma \in Vect^4(S^4)$ be the real reduction of the quaternionic line bundle over $HP^1 = S^4$ (1-dimensional quaternionic projective space). Then

$$f(\tau) = (2, 0); \quad f(\gamma) = (1, -2).$$

Our theorem will follow directly from Lemma 8 and the next result that improves Lemma 20.10 in [MS].

Lemma 9. *f fits in the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow Vect^4(S^4) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow 0,$$

where $g(a, b) \equiv (2a - b) \pmod{4}$.

Proof of the Theorem. Assume that there exists an irreducible isoparametric submanifold $M \subset R^{52}$ with uniform multiplicity 4 of D_4 -type. Let ξ be a 4-plane bundle over M whose Euler and the first Pontrijagin classes are given as that in (4-4).

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Vect^4(S^4)$ be obtained respectively by restricting ξ to the leaf spheres $S_2(a)$, $S_9(a)$ (cf. section 3). By the naturality of characteristic classes we get from (4-4) that

$$f(\xi_1) = (-1, 2k); \quad f(\xi_2) = (0, -2k),$$

where the integer k must satisfy the congruences

$$-2 \equiv 2k \pmod{4}; \quad 0 \equiv -2k \pmod{4}.$$

by Lemma 9. The proof is done by the obvious contradiction. $\square$

It suffices now to justify Lemma 9.

Let $SO(m)$ be the special orthogonal group of rank m and denote by $\pi_r(X)$ the r -homotopy group of a topological space X . The *Steenrod correspondence* is the map $s : Vect^m(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(SO(m))$ defined by

$s(\xi)$ = the homotopy class of a clutching function $S^{n-1} \rightarrow SO(m)$ of ξ .

In [S, §18], Steenrod showed that

Lemma 10. *s is a one-to-one correspondence.* $\square$

It follows that $Vect^m(S^n)$ has a group structure so that s is a group isomorphism. We clarify this structure in Lemma 11.

Fix a base point $s_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^n$. For two $\xi, \eta \in Vect^m(S^n)$ write $\xi \vee \eta$ for the m -bundle over $S^n \vee S^n$ (one point union of two S^n over s_0) whose restriction to the first (resp. the second) sphere agrees with ξ (resp. η). Define the addition $+$: $Vect^m(S^n) \times Vect^m(S^n) \rightarrow Vect^m(S^n)$ and the inverse $-$: $Vect^m(S^n) \rightarrow Vect^m(S^n)$ operations by the rules

$$\xi + \eta = \mu^*(\xi \vee \eta) \text{ and } -\xi = \nu^*\xi,$$

where $\xi, \eta \in Vect^m(S^n)$, $\mu : S^n \rightarrow S^n \vee S^n$ is the map that pinches the equator $x \in S^n$, $x_{n+1} = 0$ to the base point s_0 and where $\nu : S^n \rightarrow S^n$ is the restriction of the reflection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in the hyperplane $x_{n+1} = 0$. It is straight forward to see that

Lemma 11. *With respect to the operations $+$ and $-$*

- (1) *$Vect^m(S^n)$ is an abelian group with zero ε^m , the trivial m -bundle over S^n and*
- (2) *the maps s and f are homomorphisms.* $\square$

We are ready to show Lemma 9.

The proof of Lemma 9. It is essentially shown by Milnor [MS, p.245] that f is injective and satisfies $\text{Im } f \supseteq \text{Ker } g$. It suffices to show that $\text{Im } f \subseteq \text{Ker } g$.

Assume on the contrary that there is a $\xi \in Vect^4(S^4)$ such that $f(\xi) = (a, b)$ with $2a - b = 4k + i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, one must has $i = 2$ since the first Pontrijagin class of any vector bundle over S^4 is divisible by 2. That is

$$(5-1) \quad f(\xi) = (a, 2a - 4k - 2)$$

Using the group operations in $Vect^4(S^4)$ we form the class

$$\widehat{\xi} = -\xi - (a - 2k - 1)\gamma + (a - k)\tau,$$

where τ and γ were given in the Example. By the additivity of f we get from the Example and (5-1) that

$$(5-2) \quad f(\widehat{\xi}) = (1, 0).$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \pi_4(S^4) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_3(SO(4)) & = Vect^4(S^4) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \pi_3(SO(5)) = Vect^5(S^4) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & \swarrow p_1 & \searrow p_1 \\ & & & & & H^4(S^4; \mathbb{Z}) & \end{array}$$

in which

- (1) the top row is a section in the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration $SO(4) \xrightarrow{i} SO(5) \rightarrow S^4$ (cf. [Wh, p.196]);
- (2) p_1 assigns a bundle with its first Pontrijagin class;
- (3) via the Steenrod isomorphism, the homomorphism i_* induced by the fibre inclusion corresponds to the operation $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta \oplus \varepsilon^1$, where $\oplus$ means Whitney sum and where ε^1 is the trivial 1-bundle over S^4 ; and
- (4) the map triangle commutes by the stability of Pontrijagin classes.

From the Bott-periodicity we have $\pi_3(SO(5)) = \mathbb{Z}$. It is also known that $p_1 : Vect^5(S^4) \rightarrow H^4(S^4; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective onto the subgroup $2H^4(S^4; \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^4(S^4; \mathbb{Z})$. Summarizing we have

- (5) $p_1 : Vect^5(S^4) \rightarrow H^4(S^4; \mathbb{Z})$ is injective.

From (5.2) we find that $p_1(\widehat{\xi}) = 0$. As a result (4) and (5) imply that $i_*(\widehat{\xi}) = 0$. From the exactness of the top sequence one concludes

$$\widehat{\xi} = k\partial(\iota_4) \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\iota_4 \in \pi_4(S^4) = \mathbb{Z}$ is the class of identity map. Since $\partial(\iota_4) = \tau$ (cf. [Wh, p.196]) we have $f(\widehat{\xi}) = (2k, 0)$ by the Example. This contradiction to (5-2) completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4. The proof of Lemma 9 indicates that the bundles τ and γ in the Example generate the group $Vect^4(S^4)$. These two bundles were used by Milnor in [MS, p.247] to illustrate his original construction of different differential structures on the 7-sphere in 1956 [M]. $\square$

References

- [B] A. Borel, Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts, Ann. of Math. (2)57(1953), 115-207.
- [BS] R. Bott and H. Samelson, Application of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces, Amer. J. Math., Vol. LXXX, no. 4 (1958), 964-1029.
- [D] H. Duan, Self-maps of the Grassmannian of complex structures, Compositio Math. 132(2002), 159-175.
- [HPT] W. Y. Hsiang, R. Palais and C. L. Terng, The topology of isoparametric submanifolds, J. Diff. Geom., Vol. 27 (1988), 423-460.
- [Hu] J. E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Graduated text in Math. 9, Springer-Verlag New York, 1972.
- [LD] B. Li and H. Duan, Spin characteristic classes and reduced Kspin Groups of low dimensional complex, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 113, No. 2 (1991), 479-491.
- [M] J. Milnor, On manifolds homeomorphic to the 7-sphere. Ann. of Math. (2) 64 (1956), 399-405.
- [MS] J. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, Characteristic classes, Ann. Math. Studies 76, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1974.
- [S] N. A. Steenrod, The topology of fiber bundles, Princeton University Press, 1951.
- [Wh] G. W. Whitehead, Elements of Homotopy theory, Graduate texts in Math. 61, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1978.